Why should a country that is not yet overdeveloped in its natural economy insist its resources in such an enterprise? Could you not absorb the full benefits of programs in basic scientific research by forcing the literature at no more than the cost of translating from North American English into Mexican Spanish?

Best of course, who would then be able to understand scientific gifts that is relevant, whatever forgiveness for our needs and progress?

In the best analysis the justification is that of higher education; afforded, with call respect to the sustainer teachers.
of this faculty, and of every university, there is
one Socratic教师 on the questions of the
world, and the physical reality with which we habit,
and which comprise our own bodies, and that teacher
is Nature herself. No amount of scholastic communi-
cation with oneself, no amount of polemics with
others in the same or in opposing ideologic framework,
can replace the experiment — asking hard questions
of Nature to elucidate how the world actually is — the
objective reality to be distinguished from how it might
have been, or even how we feel it ought to be.

I do not press this assertion about partisitic
reality as an assertion of ultimate truth, which has
raised many philosophical criticisms about the
possibility of knowledge. But these paradoxes about
the ultimate, the undeniable faculty of human
sensory observation and logical extrapolation must
not be confused with the ambitions of the ignorant.
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The philosophies that reflect these limitations into a denial of scientific method and of objective reality, to the point where "the truth" is to be decided by personal whimsey or by the shouting of the mob.

The scientist finds himself in a precarious position in the present turmoil of the global revolution, that resurgence of faith issues that testifies to the particular ideologies of socialism or liberalism that different ways toward very sick looks of the present quandaries. He succeeds of being the high priest who hears truth (and then incidentally political power) for humanity and his class by his denial that truth can be found in personal or collective feeling. He is not trying it for himself, for he does not possess it himself — he merely invites that we take it seriously. This is a hard discipline, and we know few self-sacrifices like the
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Modernism was not the panacea to the problems of Mexico's economic structure, as some have claimed. The collapse of the country's agricultural economy, along with a significant decline in productivity, led to the instability of the country's economy. This failure was compounded by the continued extraction of wealth without significant investment in modernizing the economy. The failure to modernize and compete with the global market led to a decline in Mexico's standing on the world stage.
basic policies material policies that make
such a laboratory possible are indeed crucial
to the cultural as well as economic
future of the country.

All is not simple, my friend, however.
First of all, we must be careful to recognize
the limits of objective research, particularly
in the definition of fundamental values, and
when we do understand them as indubitably
happens (for scientists are not more
looking in search than other human) — we
deserve the wrath of the multitudes. The
power that derives from science-based
technology is not always wisely used,
and scientists are often the scapegoats of
popular resentment against various kinds
of exploitation of people that are more profound
in lesser depth in many human organism of
the social imperfect material political
system. This has led to a foolish country.
reaction of statement on the part of a few — that the search for truth has an absolute value that overrides every other human concern. In my opinion, such views reflect a totalitarian ideology which has a disagreement of certain number of adherents in every profession, and must be rejected as an official expression of the scientific ethic. We know too well the yield of misuse that has followed from the pursuit of every ideology that denies the rights of individual human beings.

A better statement would be that scientific advance is one of the principal magnifiers of what is uniquely human, and that scientists must help to build the community to the most humane and the most pattern of the muting and of the rest of science.
My concern about this question is motivated by much more than the minor cult
cereal revolution we have been experiencing in the U.S. There is in fact an imminent
feasible threat of developments that could make a mockery of the humanistic aims of biol-
ogical science, which could destroy the moral
foundations of laboratories just like this one
throughout the world.

The threat is the escalation of biological
warfare, the development of viruses and bacteri-
as agents of disease for military purposes.

B-W- are not taken very seriously as weapons;
while a country could rely on its natural defense,

Unlike chemical weapons which resulted
in many casualties in WWII starting with the
use of Chlorine and Mustard gas, B-W have not
been employed for any significant purpose in modern war.
We have been contending that we should be concerned about future cycles of such events. An important turning point in world history of B/C

wes Pres. Men. 11/25

Later expanded to toxins, chemical substances isolated mainly of biological origin. Also announced that the Geneva protocol of 1925 would be submitted to the Senate for ratification. This has been delayed at least six years, owing to ... Tenges and 

Considered in light of today, before the issue of the last letter. This is to inform you that I have decided to restrict accessory author and comments that I hope to be put aside if we can overcome the global threats.

These repressions separate, I believe correctly, the issues of B/C and of CW. I will remind you that the Geneva post protocol, together with Mr. Nixon’s statements, obligate the world community now to make the first step of a long, regretful
exceeding the U.S. of the USSR are assumedly
containing secret research on CW.

They are also still stockpiling
reserves of CW on the assumption that
this will deter their adversaries from
violating the Geneva protocol. But of course
this is precisely what stimulates the
continuation of CW research in military
laboratories. Disposing of existing stocks
of CW – as the U.S. has announced it will
do in certain localities – is itself a
serious embarrassment because of the well
publicized hazards to civilian populations.

The Nixon statement goes one step further
than the Geneva protocol by excluding R + D on
and stockpiling of biological weapons, as well as the promise against
first use contained in the Geneva protocol. Some
other countries, especially the U.K. and Canada
had already taken similar steps, but the exact
Of these new policies, it is still uncertain whether they will be effective, for example, just what evidence is there that these new protocols are definitively being disarmed. The French detected that a majority of the present armament was being modified or that the Health and other agencies were receiving news from the USSR or China about unilateral actions or their part. This very encouraging and urgent step is the result in large measure of a great popular effort and the reversal of many years of resistance against the implementation of disarmament as a voluntary agreement. However, there are serious limitations on the long-term significance of these new policies, unless more unilateral bases.

No doubt these new policies, if I have the force of a treaty obligation, they could be re-
record at any time by the present or previous governments. Indeed — and I mean this — I speak only as a private citizen having had access to confidential past papers only to public reports — it is hard to believe.

that a unilateralist course would be continued for very long if other countries were known or presumed suspected of continuing secret R&D in this area.

It is therefore urgent that we proceed to a universal understanding of the need to contain BCW development in every country, preferably through formal treaty arrangements.

I say this not because I have such high faith in this

Why formal agreements? After all, treaties can always be denounced, and violated so
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Why not meant together.

How to increase visibility?

Role of management material.

Capabilities for world leadership.

Example: Schenker's nuclear UN Committee.
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