McG. Bundy
nyee

MAR 9 1982

Again, thank you —
and especially for caveats aplenty.

One you did not stress — out of understandable charity for Rabi — is that moral revulsion is no substitute for analysis in presenting an argument. E.G. I am sure that the GAC minority report was enfeebled by its lecturing on what constituted a sin.

That same certainty about what was right and wrong may have reduced Rabi's incentive to elaborate on the proposal.

Taken as classification into angels and devils, this is of course a subset under polarizations.

The book I mentioned to you is: →

SNO

Yours
Jim

↑ Sam