

; <LEDERBERG>DUMPIT.TMP;3 WED 22-SEP-76 8:12AM

Date: 22 SEP 1976 1011-EDT  
From: Joseph Weizenbaum (JOSEPH @ MIT-MC)  
To: Buchanan at SUMEX-AIM  
Message-ID: <2170.[MIT-MC] 09/22/76 10:11:35>

As I now understand it, the Stanford CS memo will consist of McCarthy's, Lederberg's, and your review. You have invited me to submit my comments on any or all of these. So far so good? Now, since Josh's review is a revision of the one to which I originally responded -- indeed, it seems to have been revised in part as a result of those comments -- my response to the original is no longer appropriate. So that has to go. On the other hand, John seems disinclined to modify (I would say 'correct') his review, hence my response to him as recorded in SIHART no. 58 seems still appropriate. As far as your review, Bruce, is concerned, I do not find it offensive (to say the very least) and therefore don't feel compelled by anger to respond to it. Indeed, I find it sufficiently deep that I would not want to respond to it except after considerable thought and in a fairly carefully written way. I think, alas, I will not find time for that. Perhaps then you had best go ahead with only the three reviews and my response to John's.

Best

Joe.

P.s. Have you seen Yorik Wilks' review for the BSIA? I also wrote a short response to it. If you were to publish his, I would ask you to print my response also. If you send me your mailing address, I will send you his stuff and mine (the marginal comments on his document are, of course, mine.)

Joe has decided not to add comments on your review or mine to the CS memo. I suggest we just go ahead then, OK?

Bruce

-----