THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

October 5 - 1911

My dear Sir William.

Your note about the great discussion which has been disturbing us so much was received and appreciated. I am afraid that I do not wholly agree with your point of view and I am exceedingly sorry that you can not advocate the view that the majority of us believe in namely that it would be a great advantage to the science of medicine if the clinical teachers were relieved of the distractions of an outside practice. In laboratory men have taken a keen interest in the whole discussion. Although 2 would have been greatly to your personal advantage, but although I believe it is to promote more

for the advancement of medicine.
However as I understand it there
is not a shadow of a chance that the
Rockefeller people will consider giving
giving money to the laboratories. They
are interested in what they believe
is a great reform in clinical teaching
and if we do not agree with them
it is likely that they will bestow
their favors - possibly St. Louis. In
which event I fancy that we will
be perfecting our Allegro fiddle
for years hence. We are all hiss
of this discussion here and personally
I hope that they will make us
an offer in definite form which
we can accept or reject - They
can also in making any counter
proposition - for the reason I
suppose that they are not satisfied
with our attitude - I regret that
your letter as it will
probably make them less willing
to take action and besides
you have introduced another
feature which does not

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

comment chief to the laboratory men.
Your statement that the clinical men
have done better scientific work
than the laboratory men implies that
in your opinion we are a long lot.
If the clinical men have been
able to carry out a successful
private practice and have handsome
incomes and in the little time
left have contributed to medical
science work of more value than
that who have given all their time
to exact labors - why it is evident
that the laboratory men are a medicore
lot or the clinical men are a
set of geniuses - I don't accept
your statement myself and in
making it you have been I believe
as unjust as you accuse Flexner
of being toward the clinicians.
If you have contributed yourself with
saying that their work or the whole
lack two of more values I could
let it pass without comment - but

to say that their acceptance with
has been your value is a source of
constant color. Did you really look
into the investigations from the laboratory
side in a critical way? I hope not.
for I should be sorry to have you
entertain such a poor opinion of
our productiveness. I will not
attempt to present the arguments on
our side as I know that you
are familiar with them. In
Ivan's redemption I know you have
found the highest interest in investigation
work in medicine and declared
your belief that "the laws of the
of Science have availed for the
healing of the nations" For my part
I am convinced that the development
taking place in consequence of the
penetration of methods of experimental
investigation into the clinical branches
must inevitably lead to the formation of
a new body of clinical teachers. We
have the opportunity to lead the
movement and my pride in the
school has made me desire earnestly
that we shall have this honor.
But both wishes and congratulations upon
your new honors - sincerely yours

W. H. Howell