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Mr. FOGARTY. Mr. Speaker, under leave to extend my remarks I include an address which I delivered at the National Institute on Crime and Delinquency at New Ocean House, Swampscott, Mass., June 1, 1959:

SPEECH OF HON. JOHN E. FOGARTY, MEMBER OF CONGRESS, SECOND DISTRICT, RHODE ISLAND, AT THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE ON CRIME AND DELINQUENCY AT NEW OCEAN HOUSE, SWAMPSCOTT, MASS., JUNE 1, 1959

It is a pleasure to be here with you this evening and I welcome the opportunity to share with you my thoughts on the problem of juvenile delinquency.

It is a problem that causes grave concern, not only to you and me, but to millions of our fellow Americans, and I think properly so.

The statistics themselves are shocking.

The number of delinquency cases has risen for 9 consecutive years, in fact such cases have doubled in the last decade.

Last year more than one-half million of our children were involved in court actions because of delinquent behavior. An additional million whose cases never reached the court required police attention.

Young people under 18 are committing an increasingly disproportionate number of serious offenses, according to FBI reports.

Yet you know, as I do, that these figures, shocking as they are, do not tell the whole story. They barely hint the magnitude of the problem in the human terms of parental distress, economic burden, and loss of future potentially useful citizens.

All over our broad land, parents, teachers, the courts, civic groups, and other organizations express concern over the problem. And there are many groups attempting to solve it.

Recently I have met several times with professional people who are tackling the juvenile-delinquency problem through what they call saturation programs. This was a new term to me, but the idea it expresses—that of flooding high-delinquency areas with the united services of all the agencies of the community—seems to me to be a genuinely creative technique. What impresses me most about these total community programs is the breadth of concept. Here delinquency is tackled simultaneously with every available resource. Working through existing agencies—community, school, and civic groups; welfare and medical facilities; legal and police channels, youth organizations—these programs attempt to make available to the children of high-delinquency areas every type of help they will need. Through the schools, psychometric testing, remedial reading, and vocational guidance services are given as they are needed. The dentists and physicians of the community are drawn into contact with deprived groups who are ordinarly without such care, and mental health clinics are established to help untangle some of the complex psychological problems of the people of the area. Public and voluntary welfare agencies are utilized in their particular areas of concern. Interagency registries are set up to prevent duplication of effort and assure that each agency which has contact with a family knows which other agencies have attempted to serve members of the same family. Probation and parole officers reach out to delinquent and potentially delinquent children at a level they can understand, gradually winning the confidence and respect of influential gang leaders.

Let me say a special word about the people who handle the difficult tasks of probation and parole work. These are grueling jobs, taxing every resource of the worker, often placing him in actual physical danger. Yet, in spite of the long hours, the emotional and physical strain, the inadequate pay, we find young men and young women ready and willing to devote themselves to these jobs in the hope of reaching a few of the unreachable children. With these young men and women—many of you here today—lies much of the day to day supervision of disturbed and often rebellious children. To me, this is a genuine civic responsibility.

But the various techniques I have mentioned which bring together all available services are only the surface manifestations of a concept of far greater depth, which was also explained to me—a concept which I believe to be a thoroughly sound one of far-reaching implications. This concept recognizes the supreme importance of family and community, stability in rearing children of the types that lead to delinquency. Consequently, programs are focused especially on strengthening the family and community structures.

Special attention is given to the adjustment problems of families who move from familiar surroundings into Federal housing projects, and every effort is made to establish harmonious relationships among the new neighbors who are often of different cultural backgrounds.

Attempts are made to stimulate the more responsive members of the newly established communities to develop growing attitudes of civic responsibility. Special contacts with parents are made at the first sign of troubled behavior in the child, and the parents are educated to the need for positive parental influence and control while the children are still young enough to accept it.

The young people themselves are invited to participate in councils where specific problems can be ventilated and corrective action outlined by those most actively in and affected by them.

I am told that programs utilizing some of these ideas have been developed in several of our major cities: Philadelphia, Los Angeles, San Francisco and others, and that one of the most comprehensive at present, is mobilization for youth, the all-out total community program in New York's lower East Side. Mr. James McCarthy, executive secretary of this program, has been particularly responsible for opening my eyes to the creative possibilities of this new trend toward comprehensive programs of action.

I am not naive enough to assume that this approach to delinquency prevention and control is a panacea. In fact the more I learn about it the more I became aware of certain additional needs in the fight against juvenile delinquency. Two important aspects of the Mobilization of Youth project are simultaneous training of needed personnel and research to determine the effectiveness of techniques used in the effort to reduce juvenile behavior. I feel that we need these training and evaluation procedures with each and every program of this type. We must look ahead of far more research in the field than is presently being done. We need to look into the possible
relationship between juvenile delinquency and mothers who work. We need to determine why delinquency rises in some communities and not in others. We need to make full use of the tests which have been developed in combating it, you have also turned your attention to the consideration of our present court structures. We cannot doubt the need for strong and effective courts. We know that in the past decade the number of cases coming before the juvenile courts has increased almost five times as rapidly as the child population of juvenile court age. This is an alarming rate of increase, and projected into the future at the same rate would mean that in another 5 or 6 years the number would be handling a million delinquent children each year.

We have seen it demonstrated again and again that the seeds of delinquency are most apt to lie within the early home life of the child. In fact, I have been told that certain tests have been devised by Sheldon and Eleanor Glueck which attempt to predict the probability of future delinquent behavior through an analysis of the family setting. The Gluecks found clues that certain factors within the home relate closely to the presence or absence of delinquent behavior in the children. These factors were supervision by the mother, discipline by the father, affection of the mother and of the father, and cohesiveness of the family group.

Recently I learned of a limited pilot study in Washington, D.C., which indicates that of 60 disturbed children studied, over half had shown behavior problems in their preschool years, and an additional third had manifested difficulties by the time they were in kindergarten. Poor family relationships and an unstable home environment were present in a significant number of the cases. These facts, and other studies along the same line, indicate a clear need for family guidance facilities available while the children are in their preschool years, as well as during the school years—but they also bring me to the point I wish to make about our present court structures.

At present in most areas juvenile offenses fall under the jurisdiction of one court while cases relating to other family matters are handled by other courts. Many of our juvenile courts are doing an excellent job of supplying the specialized services needed by the disturbed young people who come before them, but I feel it is possible that an even more effective job can be done if the total framework of family problems can be considered by a single agency. This is not a matter simply of adherence to certain constitutional guarantees about the rights of individual children, important as those guarantees are, but seems to show delinquency is usually an outgrowth of an inadequate home life. It seems logical that delinquency cases might best be handled by a court empowered to deal with all problems relating to family matters. This idea, of course, is not new, but it seems to me, that I, for my part, will continue my efforts in the Congress toward the end we both so greatly desire—an end to juvenile delinquency and a happier, more rewarding future for our Nation's children and young people.