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IT'S A LITTLE HARD TO GET USED TO THESE CLOTHES, FOR IT'S BEEN TWO ONLY LITTLE MORE THAN ONE MONTH SINCE I HUNG IN MY CLOSET THE UNIFORM OF THE SURGEON GENERAL, NEVER TO PUT IT ON AGAIN.

I AM, OF COURSE, GRATEFUL TO RONALD REAGAN FOR NOMINATING ME AND THEN RENOMINATING ME TO THE OFFICE OF SURGEON GENERAL.
BEFORE THEN, I HAD ENJOYED, FOR 40 YEARS A WONDERFUL CAREER AS
SURGEON-IN-CHIEF AT THE CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF PHILADELPHIA, AS
WELL AS TWO PROFESSORSHIPS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA.

I THOUGHT THAT NOTHING COULD EVER COMPARE WITH THAT, BUT THE JOB
OF SURGEON GENERAL DID.

I LOVED EVERY MINUTE OF IT. . . . WELL, ALMOST EVERY MINUTE.
NOW I ENTER THE PRIVATE SECTOR, FEELING THAT PUBLIC SERVICE IS ITS OWN REWARD, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT IS APPRECIATED.

I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO SPEAK OUT WHEN I CAN TO IMPROVE THE HEALTH OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. I DON'T HAVE THE POWER OF A PUBLIC OFFICE NOW, BUT I DON'T HAVE ITS CONSTRAINTS EITHER.
EVEN AS SURGEON GENERAL I USED TO SAY SOMEWHAAT FACETIOUSLY THAT
I HAD NO POWER AND NO BUDGET. THAT IS CORRECT.

BUT I ACCOMPLISHED MUCH BY SPENDING OTHER PEOPLE'S MONEY. AND I
HAD THE POWER OF MORAL SUASION TO CHANGE PUBLIC POLICY.

I MAY HAVE HAD THE REPUTATION FOR STRAIGHT AND FRANK TALK, BUT
BELIEVE ME I NEVER SHOT FROM THE HIP.
I WOULD NOT HAVE HAD THE POWER OF MORAL SUASION IF MY
PREDECESSORS HAD NOT JEALOUSLY GUARDED THE OFFICE OF THE SURGEON
GENERAL TO MAKE IT PERHAPS THE MOST CREDIBLE IN GOVERNMENT. AT
TIMES, THE ENVY OF PRESIDENTS, I MIGHT ADD.
MY APPOINTMENT BY RONALD REAGAN DID NOT MEET WITH UNQUALIFIED
ENTHUSIASM. TO CALL MY APPOINTMENT AS SURGEON GENERAL
"CONTROVERSIAL" IS A GROSS UNDERSTATEMENT. I WAS ATTACKED BY THE
PRESS AS BEING UNQUALIFIED, AN IDEOLOGUE, AND THE WORST
APPOINTMENT AS SURGEON GENERAL IN THE HISTORY OF THE OFFICE.
IN THE SHORT TIME WE HAVE TOGETHER TODAY, I'D LIKE TO HIT SOME OF THE HIGH SPOTS OF MY 8 YEARS AS SURGEON GENERAL, AND THEN LOOK TO THE FUTURE. THAT SHOULD PROVIDE A BASIS FOR YOUR QUESTIONS, ALTHOUGH YOU DON'T NEED TO CONFINE YOUR QUESTIONS TO THE SUBJECTS I MENTION.
I'M SURE AIDS IS NOT A NEW TOPIC FOR ANYONE IN THIS ROOM. AFTER ALL I WROTE EACH OF YOU A LETTER ABOUT AIDS A YEAR AGO. AT LEAST I SENT THE AIDS MAILER TO 107 MILLION HOUSEHOLDS. I HOPE YOU RECEIVED YOURS.

AND YET I'M SURE THERE ARE STILL MANY PEOPLE RIGHT HERE IN THIS AUDIENCE WHO ARE STILL UNCLEAR ABOUT THE NATURE OF THE DISEASE OF AIDS.
YOU MAY RECALL THAT, IN 1981, THE U.S. PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
PUBLISHED THE FIRST REPORTS OF WHAT WAS TO BECOME THE AIDS
EPIDEMIC. THEY CONCERNED 5 "PREVIOUSLY HEALTHY" HOMOSEXUALS WHO
WERE ADMITTED TO LOS ANGELES HOSPITALS WITH PNEUMOCYSTIS CARINII
PNEUMONIA, A VERY RARE FORM OF PNEUMONIA.

BY THE TIME THE REPORT HAD BEEN PUBLISHED, 2 OF THE MEN HAD DIED.
THE OTHER 3 DIED SHORTLY THEREAFTER.
THEN, A MONTH LATER, THE PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE PUBLISHED A REPORT THAT 26 YOUNG MEN HAD BEEN RECENTLY DIAGNOSED ASHAVING KAPOSI'S SARCOMA, "AN UNCOMMONLY REPORTED" CANCEROUS CONDITION USUALLY FOUND IF AT ALL AMONG ELDERLY MEN.

FROM THAT SMALL BEGINNING THE CASES MUSHROOMED INTO THE EPIDEMIC OF THE LATE 1980S.
THE FIRST THING TO KNOW IS THAT THE AIDS VIRUS IS STILL SPREADING, BOTH IN OUR COUNTRY AND WORLDWIDE.

THAT'S THE PREMISE FOR EVERYTHING WE DO. WE'VE GOT A KILLER DISEASE ON OUR HANDS AND IT'S REACHING FARTHER AND DEEPER INTO OUR SOCIETY EVERY DAY.

OUR STATISTICIANS PREDICTED TWO YEARS AGO THAT THE AIDS EPIDEMIC WOULD CONTINUE TO GROW AND SPREAD WELL INTO THE 1990'S ... AND I'M AFRAID THEY WERE RIGHT.
As of last week we had _______109 thousand cases with _______65 thousand deaths.

And 1 1/2 to 3 million carrying the virus. Some of them know they have it and some don't, but they are all infectious to other people through intimate sexual contact or sharing paraphernalia when they shoot drugs.

That's why I prefer to speak of HIV infection --not AIDS-- because it helps focus on the enormity of the problem.

Almost half the number of people who were reported with AIDS just last year have already died.
SO, EVEN THOUGH WE DON'T KNOW EVERYTHING ABOUT THIS DISEASE, WE
DO KNOW ONE THING FOR CERTAIN:

IF YOU HAVE AIDS, YOUR CHANCES OF SURVIVING THE NEXT TWO OR THREE
YEARS ARE NOT VERY GOOD. BUT YOUR CHANCES OF SURVIVING ANY
LONGER THAN THAT ARE ALMOST NIL.
IN FEBRUARY 1986, PRESIDENT REAGAN ASKED THE SURGEON GENERAL TO PREPARE A REPORT TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ON AIDS.

THE REPORT HAD TO GIVE THE FACTS ABOUT AIDS AND TELL PEOPLE HOW TO PROTECT THEMSELVES FROM GETTING IT.

AFTER 8 MONTHS OF LISTENING TO ALL SHADES OF OPINION -- LEFT, RIGHT, AND CENTER -- FROM A BROAD CROSS-SECTION OF OUR SOCIETY, I RELEASED MY REPORT DIRECTLY TO THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AT AN OCTOBER 1986 PRESS CONFERENCE.
AIDS IS TRANSMITTED IN FOUR WAYS ... AND IN ONLY FOUR WAYS:

* THE FIRST WAY IS MOST COMMON ROUTE OF TRANSMISSION IS SEXUAL INTERCOURSE ... MOSTLY BUT NOT EXCLUSIVELY ANAL INTERCOURSE, WHICH OCCURS MOST FREQUENTLY AMONG HOMOSEXUALS AND BISEXUAL MALES. THIS ACCOUNTS FOR ABOUT TWO-THIRDS OF ALL CASES OF AIDS. HOWEVER, AIDS IS TRANSMITTED THROUGH HETEROSEXUAL INTERCOURSE. AND EVEN THOUGH THE STATISTICAL INCIDENCE OF HETEROSEXUAL TRANSMISSION IS LOWER, IT IS JUST AS FATAL. DON'T FOOL AROUND.
* AIDS IS ALSO TRANSMITTED INTO THE BLOOD OF INTRAVENOUS DRUG
ADDICTS WHO USE THE NEEDLES AND SYRINGES OF OTHER ADDICTS ALREADY
INFECTED WITH AIDS VIRUS.

* THE VIRUS CAN ALSO BE TRANSMITTED FROM AN AIDS-INFECTED
MOTHER TO HER INFANT DURING PREGNANCY OR AT THE TIME OF DELIVERY.

* AND FINALLY, AIDS CAN BE TRANSMITTED THROUGH TRANSFUSED
BLOOD OR BLOOD PRODUCTS. BUT, AS MOST OF YOU KNOW, THIS DANGER
IS NOW ALMOST STATISTICALLY INSIGNIFICANT.
Before I go any further I want to

salute the ARC and especially this

advant-garde chapter. Without the ARC

I don't know where we'd be in the fight

against AIDS

Before we identified the virus we saw

its footprints - the antibodies - and perfected

a test that made it possible to

screen blood for transmission. The RED

cross did the test and we have the

safest blood for IX that is possible

to have. My hat is off to the ARC

NATIONAL DISASTER FAMILY AID
AND, THERE ARE FOUR KEY ASPECTS OF THE DISEASE OF AIDS:

ONE, THAT IT'S SPREADING ...

TWO, THAT IT'S FATAL...

THREE, THAT WE DON'T HAVE A CURE YET ...

AND FOUR, THAT IT'S SPREAD MAINLY BY CERTAIN SPECIFIC
BEHAVIORS INVOLVING SEX AND/OR DRUGS.
THESE FOUR ASPECTS MAKE THE AMERICAN PEOPLE VERY EDGY ABOUT AIDS.

AND THAT'S CERTAINLY UNDERSTANDABLE.

BUT THE FACT REMAINS THAT YOU HAVE TO MAKE A CONSCIOUS DECISION TO CARRY OUT A SPECIFIC FORM OF PERSONAL BEHAVIOR BEFORE YOU EXPOSE YOURSELF TO THE AIDS VIRUS. DON'T DO IT.

THE ONLY WEAPON WE HAVE AGAINST THIS DISEASE IS EDUCATION, EDUCATION, AND MORE EDUCATION.
AIDS MAY BE THE MOST DEADLY DISEASE ON THE HORIZON, THE MOST UNIQUE CHALLENGE TO US, BUT IT IS NOT THE GREATEST KILLER OR THE GREATEST BURDEN ON THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM. THAT NOTORIETY GOES TO SMOKING-RELATED DISEASE.
THE EVIDENCE AGAINST SMOKING BUILDS EVERY YEAR, AND THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ARE BELIEVING IT.

AS YOUR SURGEON GENERAL I RELEASED 8 ANNUAL REPORTS ON SMOKING. THEY HAVE DEALT WITH CANCER, CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE, CANCER IN WOMEN, SMOKING IN THE WORKPLACE, CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE LUNG DISEASE, INVOLUNTARY SMOKING OR WHAT IS SOMETIMES CALLED "PASSIVE SMOKING," AND THE ADDICTIVE QUALITIES OF NICOTINE.
EACH ONE HAS ITS OWN SPECIFIC AND IMPRESSIVE DATA BASE.

TODAY, THE SMOKING-AND-HEALTH RESEARCH BASE IS STILL GROWING. IT IS COMPRISED OF MORE THAN 60,000 PUBLISHED ARTICLES. AGAIN, THE VAST MAJORITY QUITE CLEARLY REINFORCES THE FACT THAT CIGARETTE SMOKING IS THE SINGLE MOST IMPORTANT FACTOR BEHIND ACUTE AND CHRONIC MORBIDITY AND PREMATURE MORTALITY AMONG ADULTS.
AS THIS RESEARCH BASE EXPANDED, SO DID OUR EFFORTS TO EDUCATE THE
PUBLIC ... TO GET THOSE WHO SMOKE, TO STOP ... AND THOSE WHO
DON'T SMOKE, TO NEVER START.

IN 1984, I WAS PERSONALLY SO CONVINCED BY THE DATA ACCUMULATED
THUS FAR THAT I CALLED UPON MY FELLOW CITIZENS TO MAKE THE UNITED
STATES A "SMOKE-FREE SOCIETY BY THE YEAR 2000."
WE'LL ACHIEVE THAT SMOKE-FREE SOCIETY BECAUSE WE WILL GRADUATE A
CLASS FROM HIGH SCHOOL IN 2000 THAT WILL HAVE HAD 12 YEARS OF
ANTI-SMOKING HEALTH MESSAGES. IT STARTED THE YEAR BEFORE LAST,
IN THE FIRST GRADE. TEACHING THAT YOUNG WILL WIN.

FEW THINGS BRINGS ME AS MUCH SATISFACTION AS THE DRAMATIC
DECREASE IN SMOKERS DURING THE LAST 8 YEARS, FROM 33 PERCENT TO
26 PERCENT.
BUT THERE IS MORE TO DO.

SMOKING IS STILL THE SINGLE MOST DEADLY "HABIT" ... WITH HIGHER MORTALITY AND MORBIDITY THAN DRUGS, AUTOMOBILES, AND AIDS COMBINED. ALL THE AIDS PATIENTS WHO HAVE DIED SINCE WE KNEW ABOUT THE DISEASE, 8 YEARS, EQUALS THE SMOKING DEATHS OF ONLY 4 MONTHS.
SMOKING IS THE ROOT CAUSE OR THE MOST SIGNIFICANT CAUSE FOR ABOUT 1,000 DEATHS A DAY OF HEART DISEASE, CANCER, AND STROKE, THE 3 LEADING KILLERS OF AMERICANS.

SOME 50 MILLION AMERICANS STILL SMOKE, MAKING A MAJOR IMPACT ON THE NATIONAL HEALTH BUDGET ... AND THEIR OWN PERSONAL AND FAMILY HEALTH BUDGETS.
BUT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY HAS NOT GIVEN UP

I DOUBT THAT YOU CAN FIND A MORE HEAVY-HANDED, OBTUSE, IMPOLITIC, AND UNTRUTHFUL GROUP OF CORPORATIONS ANYWHERE IN THE GREAT PANOPLY OF AMERICAN PRIVATE ENTERPRISE.
Almost from my first day in office, the industry reminded me again and again -- and not very subtly either -- that I ought to get off my anti-smoking "hobby-horse," as one tobacco lobbyist called it, and pay attention to other, allegedly more important public health matters.

Echoes of their complaints were relayed to me from the White House, the Congress, and even from members of the press, who have often been the gullible carriers of the industry's disastrous message.
I also read their deceptive, full-page advertisements that lifted mendacity and half-truths to a new and higher level of Faustian art.

To be perfectly honest, I did not assume the position of Surgeon General with the clear intention of being so pro-active an opponent of tobacco as I have been. But then I began to study in some depth the incontrovertible truths about the health hazards of smoking.
AND I MUST TELL YOU THAT I WAS AT FIRST DUMBFOUNDED AND THEN
PLAINLY FURIOUS AT THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY FOR ATTEMPTING TO
OBFUSCATE AND TRIVIALIZE THIS EXTRAORDINARILY IMPORTANT PUBLIC
HEALTH INFORMATION.
HOW COULD THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY DARE TO DISMISS AS UNFOUNDED AND UNPROVEN THE ABSOLUTELY CLEAR CONNECTION BETWEEN SMOKING AND HEART DISEASE ... BETWEEN SMOKING AND DEATHS FROM STROKE ... BETWEEN SMOKING AND CANCER OF THE LUNG, THE MOUTH, THE ESOPHAGUS, AND OF THE STOMACH ... AND BETWEEN SMOKING AND A DOZEN OR MORE SERIOUS, DEBILITATING, EXHAUSTING, EXPENSIVE, AND HUMILIATING DISEASES?
HOW COULD THEY DARE TO DO THAT? I WONDERED. THE ANSWER WAS ...

THEY JUST DID. AND THEY FLAUNTED THEIR ABILITY TO BUY THEIR WAY INTO THE MARKETPLACE OF IDEAS AND POLLUTE IT WITH THEIR FALSE AND DEADLY INFORMATION.

SO OUR EDUCATION CAMPAIGNS, OUR SELF-HELP GROUPS MUST CONTINUE THEIR GOOD WORK. WE MUST GUARD AGAINST COMPLacency, AND INTENSIFY OUR EFFORTS TO ELIMINATE THE GREATEST CAUSE OF PREVENTABLE DISEASE AND DEATH AFFLIctING OUR SOCIETY.
THERE IS ANOTHER ISSUE CONCERNING TOBACCO.

OUR SOCIETY IS NOT THE ONLY ONE AFFECTED.

THE BATTLE AGAINST SMOKING WORLDWIDE IS OUR BUSINESS TOO.

IT IS OUR CONCERN NOT ONLY BECAUSE OF A GENERAL INTEREST IN

COMBATING DISEASE AND DEATH, BUT ALSO BECAUSE IT AFFECTS OUR

ABILITY TO COUNTER THE PLOYS OF THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY, AND IT IS

PART OF OUR WAR ON DRUGS.
THE DECLINE IN TOBACCO COMPANY EARNINGS FROM THE SALE OF
CIGARETTES TO AMERICANS IS BEING OFFSET BECAUSE CIGARETTE
COMPANIES ARE EXPLOITING MARKETS OVERSEAS.

IN ONE OF THE MOST DISGRACEFUL EXAMPLES OF PRIVATE ENTERPRISE
GONE AMOK, THE CIGARETTE INDUSTRY IS FOCUSING: ITS HIGH-POWERED
MARKETING ATTENTION ON THE UNPROTECTED CITIZENS OF THIRD-WORLD
NATIONS IN ASIA, AFRICA, AND SOUTH AMERICA.
AS A RESULT, THOSE NATIONS ARE NOW BEGINNING TO EXPERIENCE THE
SAME RISE IN SMOKING-RELATED DISEASES THAT WE EXPERIENCED A
GENERATION AGO ... HEART DISEASE, STROKE, AND CANCER OF THE LUNG,
MOUTH, ESOPHAGUS, AND STOMACH.

AND AS AN AMERICAN CITIZEN, I AM APPALLED BY THIS CORPORATE
BEHAVIOR OF AMERICAN COMPANIES AND, FURTHER, I AM SHOCKED BY THE
OUR OWN GOVERNMENT'S SUPPORT OF SUCH BEHAVIOR.
In 1987 in Tokyo, representatives of 15 Asian countries attended a World Health Organization "Regional Working Group on Tobacco or Health." Here are some of the things reported at that meeting by the top health experts from those 15 Asian countries:

First, they said that cigarette consumption everywhere in Asia was rising faster than the overall rise in population, which is saying something in itself.
THE ALARMING THING ABOUT THIS HABIT IS THAT, WHEN IT INVADES A NEW MARKET, IT DOES SO WITH IMMENSE SPEED AND IMPACT. ACCORDING TO THE WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, BETWEEN 1971 AND 1981 CIGARETTE CONSUMPTION INCREASED IN ASIA AND LATIN AMERICA AT A RATE 30 PERCENT AHEAD OF THE RATE OF POPULATION INCREASE ... IN AFRICA, IT ROSE 77 PERCENT AHEAD OF THE RISE IN POPULATION.
AND LISTEN CAREFULLY TO THIS: IN CHINA, WHERE COUPLES ARE
SUPPOSED TO HAVE ONLY 1 CHILD PER FAMILY, THERE ARE AT THIS
MOMENT AN ESTIMATED 50,000,000 CHILDREN RUNNING AROUND TODAY WHO
WILL EVENTUALLY DIE PREMATURELY FROM SMOKING.

SECOND -- AND HERE'S WHERE WE DESERVE TO SQUIRM IN SHAME -- IN 10
OF THOSE 15 ASIAN COUNTRIES, AMERICAN CIGARETTES WERE THE MOST
COMMON KIND IMPORTED.
BUT THOSE AMERICAN CIGARETTES ARE A LITTLE DIFFERENT OVERSEAS:

FOR ONE THING, THEY DON'T CARRY THE SURGEON GENERAL'S WARNING.

AND FOR ANOTHER, MANY AMERICAN CIGARETTES MANUFACTURED FOR EXPORT

HAVE A HIGHER TAR CONTENT AND ARE, THEREFORE, EVEN MORE DANGEROUS

 THAN THE LOWER-TAR, FULLY-LABELLED PRODUCTS SOLD HERE IN THE

UNITED STATES.
AND NOT SURPRISINGLY, THESE SAME 15 COUNTRIES REPORTED THAT
COMMUNICABLE DISEASE WAS NO LONGER THE NUMBER ONE PUBLIC HEALTH
MENACE IN ASIA. TODAY, THE TOP THREE CAUSES OF DEATH IN ASIA ARE
-- CAN YOU GUESS? -- THE SAME THREE SMOKING-RELATED CAUSES OF
DEATH THAT PREVAIL HERE IN THE UNITED STATES: HEART DISEASE,
CANCER, AND STROKE.
I SHOULD ADD THAT MR. J.T. BUNN, THE TOBACCO EXPORTER, WARNED ME LAST FEBRUARY THAT IF I CONTINUE TO PROMOTE ANTI-SMOKING CAMPAIGNS WORLDWIDE -- WHICH I HAVE SURELY DONE -- I WOULD BE "TELLING OUR FOREIGN FRIENDS HOW TO CONDUCT TRADE AND HOW TO CHANGE THEIR HABITS. IT PROJECTS FOR THE U.S. AN IMAGE OF 'THE UGLY AMERICAN,'" SAID MR. BUNN IN ONE OF THE BEST DEMONSTRATIONS OF BARE-FACED CYNICISM I HAVE HAD THE PRIVILEGE TO ENCOUNTER.
TAIWAN REDUCED SMOKING IN '85 AND '86 BY 5% AND 6% RESPECTIVELY.

BUT WHEN THE AMERICAN THREAT TO IMPOSE TRADE SANCTIONS FORCED
THEM TO OPEN THEIR DOORS TO AMERICAN CIGARETTE ADVERTISING,
SMOKING INCREASED BY 10 PERCENT. THE COSTS OF THE RESULTING
DISABILITY AND DEATH WILL EXCEED THE ABILITY OF TAIWAN TO PAY.
I DO NOT BELIEVE THE UNITED STATES WILL EVER AGAIN BE A GOOD MARKET FOR TOBACCO PRODUCTS.

THE CURVE IS GOING DOWN AND ACCELERATING.

AND I FEEL QUITE GOOD ABOUT THE ROLE I BELIEVE I PLAYED IN BRINGING ABOUT THIS MARKET CHANGE.
WE HAVE BEATEN THE CIGARETTE INDUSTRY ON ITS OWN HOME TURF ... 
BUT WE'VE DRIVEN THEM TO SCOUR THE REST OF THE EARTH FOR NEW VICTIMS.

IT IS THE HEIGHT OF HYPOCRISY FOR THE UNITED STATES, IN OUR WAR AGAINST DRUGS, TO DEMAND THAT FOREIGN NATIONS TAKE STEPS TO STOP THE EXPORT OF COCAINE TO OUR COUNTRY WHILE AT THE SAME TIME WE EXPORT NICOTINE, A DRUG JUST AS ADDICTIVE AS COCAINE, TO THE REST OF THE WORLD.
I MUST CONFESS THAT, WHILE I RECEIVE MUCH PUBLIC PRAISE FOR MY INVOLVEMENT WITH SMOKING AND WITH AIDS, I COUNT AS ONE OF MY MAJOR ACHIEVEMENTS OF THESE PAST 8 YEARS THE EVOLUTION OF A MORE WIDESPREAD, MORE EFFECTIVE, AND MORE COMPASSIONATE APPROACH TO CHILDREN WHO ARE BORN WITH A NON-FATAL HANDICAP.
FOLLOWING THE YEAR-LONG "BABY DOE" DIALOGUE IN 1982, I CONVENED A SERIES OF "SURGEON GENERAL'S WORKSHOPS" THAT FOCUSED ON THE NEEDS OF THE HANDICAPPED CHILD AND HIS OR HER FAMILY. I INVITED PHYSICIANS, NURSES, SOCIAL WORKERS, HOSPITAL PERSONNEL, COMMUNITY ACTIVISTS, CHILD ADVOCATES, AND OTHERS.
WHAT HAS EVOLVED IS "FAMILY-CENTERED, COMMUNITY-BASED, COMPREHENSIVE CARE FOR CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL NEEDS." IT'S A NEW CONCEPT. IT WORKS. AND IT'S BEING ADOPTED MORE AND MORE ALL ACROSS THE COUNTRY.

ONE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT TIMES IN THE LIVES OF A HANDICAPPED CHILD AND HIS OR HER FAMILY COMES WHEN THAT CHILD OUTGROWS THE KIND OF CARE PROVIDED BY THE PEDIATRICIAN AND NEEDS TO BE HANDED ON TO THE WAITING AND CAPABLE HANDS OF A PRACTITIONER OF ADULT OR FAMILY MEDICINE.
SOMETIMES THAT TRANSITION WORKS VERY WELL. BUT MOST OF THE TIME IT DOESN'T. I THINK WE ALL KNOW THAT. AND I THINK WE ALL WANT TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT.

THE GUARANTEE OF CONTINUITY OF CARE FOR CHILDREN WITH SPECIAL HEALTH NEEDS REQUIRES SOME NEW THINKING ON EVERYONE'S PART.
THIS IS THE SITUATION TODAY:

A CHILD WITH DOWN SYNDROME IS IN THE CARE OF A PEDIATRICIAN FROM BIRTH ... 

THE CHILD GROWS UP ... THROUGH ADOLESCENCE ... AND ON INTO THE LATE TEENS...
AT THAT POINT THE PEDIATRICIAN SUGGESTS TO THE FAMILY THAT
THEIR CHILD'S HEALTH NEEDS WOULD RECEIVE A MORE
APPROPRIATE RESPONSE FROM AN INTERNIST OR FAMILY
PHYSICIAN ... 
THEY ALL AGREE AND THE CHILD IS TAKEN TO AN INTERNIST ...
WHO HAS NO CLEAR IDEA AS TO WHAT TO DO NEXT.
IF THERE IS ANY "POINT OF DISJUNCTION" IN THE HEALTH SYSTEM FOR CHILDREN WITH DOWN SYNDROME OR ANY OTHER DEVELOPMENTAL PROBLEM, THAT'S THE POINT RIGHT THERE, WHEN THE CHILD PASSES FROM THE CARE OF A PEDIATRICIAN AND INTO THE CARE OF ANOTHER SPECIALTY.
MOST PEDIATRICIANS DO NOT FEEL THE NEED TO PASS ALONG ANY MORE THAN VAGUE, GENERALIZED INFORMATION ABOUT THE CHILD AND HIS OR HER SPECIAL NEEDS, ASSUMING -- I WOULD GUESS -- THAT THE NEXT PHYSICIAN WILL KNOW WHAT THE PROBLEMS ARE ANYWAY.

BUT MOST NON-PEDIATRIC PHYSICIANS DO NOT KNOW THE PROBLEMS ASSOCIATED WITH DOWN SYNDROME ... MOST HAVE HAD NO TRAINING OR EXPERIENCE WITH THE SYNDROME ... AND FEW HAVE WORKED CLOSELY WITH FAMILIES OR WITH COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS SERVING CHILDREN OR ADULTS WITH DOWN SYNDROME.
WHICH MEANS THAT, EITHER BOTH PATIENT AND PHYSICIAN MUST GO THROUGH A LONG ORIENTATION PERIOD TOGETHER, OR -- WORSE YET -- BOTH PHYSICIAN AND PATIENT WILL SEE LESS OF EACH OTHER THAN THEY SHOULD.

WE STILL HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO WITH THIS ISSUE, BUT I THINK WE HAVE TURNED THE CORNER, AND WE SEE WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE.
THE PROBLEM OF DRUGS IN AMERICA IS SO LARGE AND SO OFTEN DISCUSSED THAT I DON'T NEED TO ADD MY VOICE TO ALL THOSE WHO POINT OUT THE URGENCY ABOUT DOING SOMETHING ABOUT THE DRUG CRISIS.

IT IS A COMPLICATED PROBLEM, TOUCHING NOT ONLY UPON PUBLIC HEALTH, BUT ALSO INVOLVING LAW, POLITICS, AND EVEN THE MILITARY.
MY ONE COMMENT ON THIS ISSUE WILL MAKE A MEDICAL COMPARISON.

NOT TOO LONG AGO THE MEDICAL COMMUNITY SPENT A GOOD DEAL OF TIME
AND EFFORT TRYING TO DECIDE WHICH WAS THE BEST TREATMENT FOR
CANCER: SURGERY, RADIATION, CHEMOTHERAPY, ETC.

PEOPLE DIVIDED THEMSELVES INTO CAMPS, EACH PLUGGING ITS OWN
SOLUTION.
Eventually it became clear that the smartest strategy was a multiple strategy, employing all of them, emphasizing one or the other for certain problems.

In the same way, perhaps too much time has been spent trying to find the best way to fight drugs: eliminate the supply, reduce the demand, interdiction, incarceration, solving basic social problems. We need all these, in a multiple strategy, and the wisdom to decide which to emphasize when.
MY FINAL APPEARANCE BEFORE CONGRESS AS SURGEON GENERAL FOCUSED ON A DRUG TOPIC THAT FIGURED LARGELY IN MY FINAL YEAR IN OFFICE, ONE THAT IS HIGH ON THE AMERICAN LIST OF CONCERNS: ALCOHOL ABUSE, ESPECIALLY ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING.

AN ESTIMATED 18 MILLION ADULT AMERICANS HAVE MEDICAL, SOCIAL AND PERSONAL PROBLEMS RELATED TO THE USE OF ALCOHOL, AS DO SEVERAL MILLION ADOLESCENTS FOR WHOM ALCOHOL IS AN ILLEGAL DRUG.
MILLIONS OF OTHER ADULTS AND YOUTHS ARE AFFECTED BY THE ALCOHOL PROBLEMS OF FAMILY MEMBERS, FRIENDS, AND WORK ASSOCIATES.

BY 1990 ALCOHOL ABUSE AND ALCOHOLISM ARE EXPECTED TO COST THE AMERICAN SOCIETY $136 BILLION A YEAR, INCLUDING BETWEEN $10 TO $15 BILLION FOR ALCOHOL RELATED CRASHES. THESE FIGURES DO NOT INCLUDE THE COSTS OF GRIEF AND HUMAN SUFFERING. HOW MANY DEFICITS OF THIS KIND CAN THE COUNTRY AFFORD?
I was led to tackle the issue of drunk driving because of the urgency of the crisis.

I could see the urgency in the many letters that came in to my office from state and local officials of every area of the country. I also received thousands of cards, letters, and telegrams from surviving family members grieving over the loss of a loved one ... someone killed by a drunk driver.
THE URGENCY WAS CLEAR FROM THE SENTIMENT EXPRESSED BY 99 UNITED STATES SENATORS AND FROM A UNANIMOUS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, WHO ASKED ME TO TAKE ON THIS ISSUE AND DO WHATEVER I COULD TO BRING IT UNDER CONTROL.

TIME IS NOT ON OUR SIDE.
HENCE, WE CAN EXPECT THAT 1990 -- LIKE 1989 AND 1988 BEFORE IT -- WILL BE A YEAR IN WHICH 24,000 MORE AMERICANS WILL HAVE DIED ON OUR HIGHWAYS IN ALCOHOL-RELATED ACCIDENTS.

AND MANY THOUSANDS MORE WILL HAVE BEEN KILLED IN ACCIDENTS THAT ARE DRUG-RELATED.
During my time at this microphone three of our citizens will be killed by a drunk driver. 534,000 people are injured in alcohol-related traffic crashes each year.

When the vehicular wreckage is towed away, the human wreckage is left behind -- the permanent brain damage, the spinal cord injuries, the lost or permanently deformed limbs, the blindness and the impotence ... the lifetimes crippled with disability and haunted by recurrent nightmares of how it all happened.
TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DEATHS ... HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF INJURIES

... THOSE ARE NUMBING STATISTICS. BUT THEY ARE ALSO MORE THAN
JUST STATISTICS.

THEY ARE REAL PEOPLE ... REAL HUMAN LIVES.

THIS IS A TOPIC THAT AFFECTS EACH OF YOU. IT IS A PROBLEM ALL
TOO COMMON AMONG UNIVERSITY STUDENTS.
UNfortunately, a disproportionate number of highway victims are young people ... young men and women between the ages of 15 and 24. No other comparable age cohort has such a record of death and injury on the highway.

And this age group, by itself, accounts for over 8,000 alcohol-related fatalities, or about a third of all fatalities each year in which alcohol is implicated.
WITH ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING, AS WITH SMOKING, THE ISSUES ARE MANY AND COMPLICATED, AND EVEN SMALL STEPS TOWARD ALLEVIATING THE PROBLEM TRIGGER STRONG EMOTIONS AND VEHEMENT CONTROVERSY.

FOR EXAMPLE, LAST DECEMBER I INVITED TO A WORKSHOP ON DRUNK DRIVING REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF BROADCASTERS, THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION OF ADVERTISING AGENCIES, AND THE ASSOCIATION OF NATIONAL ADVERTISERS. THEY ALL DECLINED, YET CRITICIZED STRONGLY THE WORKSHOP AND ITS RECOMMENDATIONS.

THE NATIONAL BEER WHOLESALERS ASSOCIATION WENT SO FAR AS TO FILE A LAWSUIT FOR A RESTRAINING ORDER FOR THE WORKSHOP.
ALCOHOL-IMPAIRED DRIVING MUST BE SEEN AS A PUBLIC HEALTH AND
SAFETY PROBLEM, RATHER THAN AS AN ECONOMIC AND MORAL ISSUE, AND
OUR PRIMARY CONCERN MUST BE WITH PRESERVING HUMAN LIVES.

AFTER THE WORKSHOP I INFORMED CONGRESS, MANUFACTURERS, THE
VOLUNTARY AGENCIES, ADVERTISERS, AND LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES
WHAT EACH COULD DO TO STOP THE CARNAGE. LET ME HIGHLIGHT ONE
MESSAGE.
WE NEED TO PAY SPECIAL ATTENTION TO THE PERNICIOUS MESSAGES OF
ALCOHOL ADVERTISING. CURRENT ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ADVERTISING IS
INCREASINGLY TARGETED AT YOUNG PEOPLE AND MINORITIES, AND OFTEN
DEPICTS ALCOHOL CONSUMPTION AS A NORMAL AND GLAMOROUS ACTIVITY
WITHOUT NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES. DRINKING IS FREQUENTLY SHOWN IN
ASSOCIATION WITH HIGH-RISK ACTIVITIES AND LINKED TO ATHLETIC,
SOCIAL, AND SEXUAL SUCCESS. THAT'S THE WRONG MESSAGE.
Our goal must be the national total unacceptability of driving after using alcohol or other drugs. The very enormity of our losses demands no less of a response.
OTHER ISSUES CALL FOR OUR RESPONSE. IN 1870 THE UNITED STATES CONGRESS PASSED A LAW CREATING AN ORGANIZATION TO PREVENT CRUELTY TO ANIMALS.

VERY QUICKLY, EVEN IN RELATIVELY SMALL TOWNS, ORGANIZATIONS BEGAN TO PROTECT ALL MANNER OF FURRY OR FEATHERED CREATURES.

IT IS A SAD COMMENTARY ON OUR SOCIETY TO ADMIT THAT IT TOOK AN ADDITIONAL 100 YEARS TO CREATE OUR FIRST SHELTER FOR BATTERED WOMEN.
VIOLENCE IS NOT ONLY A PROBLEM FOR AMERICAN SOCIETY, 

BUT ALSO IT HAS BECOME A PLAGUE, A RAPIDLY EXPANDING HEALTH PROBLEM.

MY INTEREST IN THIS ISSUE BEGAN IN A CURIOUS WAY.

IN 1984, I WAS ASKED TO ADDRESS A MEETING ON TELEVISION VIOLENCE.

THE GOVERNMENT HAD BEEN FUNDING RESEARCH IN T.V. VIOLENCE FOR THE PAST 20 YEARS AND SPEECHES ON THE SUBJECT BY THE SURGEON GENERAL WERE RATHER ROUTINE ...
BUT I BEGAN TO WONDER IF SOMEHOW OUR PREOCCUPATION WITH T.V.

VIOLENCE MIGHT ACTUALLY BE PREVENTING US FROM SEEING THE LARGER

AND MUCH MORE SERIOUS PROBLEM OF REAL VIOLENCE IN OUR SOCIETY.

EVEN A QUICK GLANCE AT THE PROBLEM REVEALED ITS STAGGERING

DIMENSIONS.
REPORTS FROM AROUND THE NATION INDICATED THAT AS MANY AS 4 MILLION CHILDREN WERE VICTIMS OF ABUSE AND NEGLECT.

TO OUR SHAME WE HAD HIDDEN THIS NATIONAL TRAGEDY.

MANY OF THOSE YOUNG VICTIMS HAVE BEEN PUNCHED: ... SLAPPED ...

THROWN ... AND BEATEN WITH FISTS, CLUBS, AND OTHER WEAPONS.

ADULTS DO THAT TO CHILDREN.
FOR CENTURIES ADULTS HAVE INJURED CHILDREN ... AND HAVE LIED ABOUT IT ...

AND OTHER ADULTS HAVE HEARD THOSE LIES AND THEN MERELY TURNED AWAY.

THE STATISTICS FOR WOMEN ARE EQUALLY ALARMING;

ESTIMATES VARY, BUT WE KNOW THAT FROM 1 TO 3 MILLION WOMEN IN THE UNITED STATES ARE BATTERED AND ASSAULTED EACH YEAR BY THEIR HUSBANDS OR PARTNERS. MANY ARE RAPED.
THESE WOMEN VICTIMS OF ASSAULT ARE PERMANENTLY INJURED -- NOT JUST PHYSICALLY BUT ALSO MENTALLY --- WHILE SOME OF THEM LOSE THEIR LIVES.

BATTERY IS THE SINGLE MOST SIGNIFICANT CAUSE OF INJURY TO WOMEN IN THIS COUNTRY.
ONE IN EVERY FIVE WOMEN SEEN IN HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOMS IS A VICTIM OF PERSONAL INJURY CAUSED BY SPOUSE ABUSE.

REMEMBER, BEHIND THESE COLD NUMBERS, PERCENTAGES, AND STATISTICS ARE REAL PEOPLE, OUR NEIGHBORS, OUR FAMILY MEMBERS, OUR FELLOW CITIZENS.
THE CONSEQUENCES ARE ENORMOUS:

LOSS OF SELF-ESTEEM,

INABILITY TO WORK PRODUCTIVELY AND TO CARE FOR CHILDREN,

PSYCHIATRIC PROBLEMS, ALCOHOL AND DRUG DEPENDENCE.

STUDIES HAVE SHOWN THAT BATTERED WOMEN ARE FOUR TO FIVE TIMES MORE LIKELY THAN NON-BATTERED WOMEN TO REQUIRE PSYCHIATRIC TREATMENT.
MANY SUFFER FROM ANXIETY, INCREASED LEVELS OF HOSTILITY,
OBSESSIVE-COMPULSIVE SYMPTOMS, AND AGORAPHOBIA - FEAR OF OPEN
SPACES. SOME COMMIT SUICIDE.

MOOREVER, STUDIES HAVE SHOWN THAT WOMEN WHO ARE ABUSED ARE EIGHT
TIMES MORE LIKELY TO ABUSE THEIR CHILDREN THAN WOMEN WHO ARE NOT
ABUSED. THESE ABUSED CHILDREN THEN OFTEN BECOME ABUSERS
THEMSELVES.
WE REALIZE THAT WE DON'T HAVE GOOD STATISTICS IN THIS DIFFICULT AREA. BUT, IF ANYTHING, THESE NUMBERS ARE MUCH TOO LOW.

WE ESTIMATE, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT FOR EVERY ADULT VICTIM OF VIOLENCE COUNTED BY THE POLICE AND THE F.B.I., AS MANY AS THREE ADDITIONAL ADULT VICTIMS PASS THROUGH HOSPITAL EMERGENCY ROOMS OR COMMUNITY CLINICS AND ARE MISSED BY THE POLICE ALTOGETHER.
INTERPERSONAL FAMILY VIOLENCE IS AN OVERWHELMING MORAL, ECONOMIC, AND PUBLIC HEALTH BURDEN THAT OUR SOCIETY CAN NO LONGER BEAR. IN THIS COUNTRY, NO MAN HAS A LICENSE TO BEAT A WOMAN ... AND GET AWAY WITH IT. AND NO WOMAN IS OBLIGED TO ACCEPT A BEATING ... AND SUFFER BECAUSE OF IT.
I WON'T GO INTO ALL THE DETAILS OF HOW WE FACED THIS PROBLEM, BUT
MUCH HAS BEEN ACCOMPLISHED. NOT ENOUGH.

THERE IS STILL MUCH TO DO.

WE SAY WE ARE A CIVILIZED SOCIETY.

ALL RIGHT, LET'S ACT LIKE ONE.
I know I have already called you to give your attention to several pressing topics. There's one health issue people are already talking about: food.

We Americans can get slightly zany about food -- like during the apple scare of '89: schools banned "apples for the teachers" -- and for the students, housewives pitched out jars of applesauce,
AND THEN THERE WAS THAT FRANTIC MOTHER IN UPSTATE NEW YORK
WHO INSISTED THAT THE STATE POLICE INTERCEPT HER DAUGHTER'S
SCHOOL BUS, BECAUSE SHE HAD PACKED AN APPLE IN THE LITTLE GIRL'S
LUNCH BOX BEFORE HEARING NEWS REPORTS ABOUT ALAR.
SOME PEOPLE THINK THAT ALL MAN-MADE SUBSTANCES SHOULD BE REMOVED FROM OUR FOOD SUPPLY, AND THAT EVERYTHING OCCURRING IN NATURE IS BENEFICIAL. THEY INSIST ON BANNING ALL PESTICIDES. FORTUNATELY THE LARGE CHAIN STORES HAVE NOT JUMPED ON THIS BANDWAGON, ALTHOUGH SOME SMALL STORES HAVE PLEDGED TO SELL NOTHING EXCEPT FOODS UNTREATED BY PESTICIDES. NOT ONLY WILL THIS LEAVE THEM WITH ROTTEN FOOD, BUT ALSO THEY WILL FAIL TO PROTECT THE CONSUMER AGAINST MOLD SUCH AS AFFLATOXIN WHICH IS LETHAL, AND OCCURS, FOR EXAMPLE, ON CORN.
PEOPLE WHO ARE SO WORRIED ABOUT PESTICIDES FAIL TO REALIZE THAT THE CANCER RATES HAVE DROPPED OVER THE LAST 40 YEARS: STOMACH CANCER HAS DROPPED MORE THAN 75%, WHILE RECTAL CANCER DROPPED MORE THAN 65%.

THE ONLY CANCER RATE THAT IS GOING UP IS AN ENVIRONMENTAL CANCER, CIGARETTE-INDUCED LUNG CANCER. MUCH OF THE PUBLIC CONCERN ABOUT CARCINOGENS IN PESTICIDES IS MISPLACED.
THE CHAIRMAN OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BIOCHEMISTRY AT BERKELEY, AND AUTHOR OF *IMPORTANT ADVANCES IN ONCOLOGY* BELIEVES THAT THE FDA IS CORRECT IN SAYING THAT AVERAGE AMERICAN CONSUMES 45 MICROGRAMS OF POSSIBLE CARCINOGENIC MAN-MADE PESTICIDE RESIDUES EVERY DAY. BUT HE POINTS OUT THAT THERE ARE 500 MICROGRAMS OF NATURALLY OCCURRING CARCINOGENS IN 1 CUP OF COFFEE, 185 MICROGRAMS OF NATURAL CARCINOGENS IN A SLICE OF BREAD, 2000 MICROGRAMS OF NATURE'S CARCINOGENS IN COCA-COLA.
UNTIL RECENTLY, AMERICANS THOUGHT ABOUT FOOD IN TERMS OF TASTE AND COST.

NOW THEIR CHIEF CONCERNS ARE HEALTH AND SAFETY.

AMERICANS ENJOY THE SAFEST FOOD SUPPLY IN THE WORLD, BUT IT TAKES ONLY AN ISOLATED INCIDENT OR RUMOR TO MAKE THIS NATION PANIC ABOUT THE SAFETY OF OUR ENTIRE FOOD SUPPLY.
THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WANT ASSURANCE THAT EVERYTHING THEY EAT IS "SAFE".

THE QUESTION ABOUT THE FOOD SUPPLY IS NOT "IS IT SAFE?"

--FEW THINGS IN LIFE CAN BE GUARANTEED "SAFE" --

BUT "IS IT SAFE ENOUGH?"
IN THE FOOD SUPPLY --AS IN ALL OTHER PUBLIC HEALTH QUESTIONS-- WE NEED BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF RISK AND HAZARD --AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN RISK AND HAZARD.

THERE IS HAZARD IN ALMOST EVERYTHING WE DO,

SO WE NEED TO CONCENTRATE ON THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN EXPOSURE TO A HAZARD AND TRUE RISK.
SOME INSIST THAT NO AMOUNT OF CHEMICALS OR PESTICIDE RESIDUE IN FOOD IS ACCEPTABLE. PUBLIC HEALTH OFFICIALS, HOWEVER, OPERATE ON THE PRINCIPLE THAT MERE EXPOSURE TO A SUBSTANCE DOES NOT NECESSARILY CREATE A TRUE RISK.

IT IS THE COMBINATION OF TOXICITY AND EXPOSURE THAT CREATES THE RISK. WE STILL HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO IN EDUCATING THE AMERICAN PEOPLE ABOUT THIS DIFFERENCE BETWEEN EXPOSURE AND RISK.
A number of recent scares showed us how quickly concern turns to panic.

As a public health official, I applaud the American concern for a healthful diet. Sustained public interest in diet and health is bound to make a difference, because diet is fundamental to the health of the American people.
Indeed, the American Council on Science and Health indicates that two-thirds of all deaths in America are directly or indirectly related to diet.

But public health officials become frustrated when concern about diet leads to confusion about the safety of the food supply.
SOMETIMES BEING TOO CONCERNED ABOUT DIET CAN BE COUNTER-
PRODUCTIVE.

WORRYING ABOUT TRACES OF CHEMICALS IN A FEW FOODS MAY BE KEEPING
MANY AMERICANS FROM EATING THE WELL-ROUNDED AND NUTRITIONAL DIETS
THEY NEED.
WHILE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT FOOD, A FEW WORDS ABOUT THE

CHOLESTEROL ISSUE.

THE CHOLESTEROL BALLOON HAS BEEN PRICKED AND IS DEFLATING.

LET'S BE VERY CLEAR ABOUT CHOLESTEROL.

IT IS A RISK FACTOR FOR CORONARY HEART DISEASE.
IT IS HOWEVER, ONLY ONE OF SEVERAL RISK FACTORS, AND MOST
SCIENTISTS THINK THE OTHERS, SUCH AS SMOKING AND HIGH BLOOD
PRESSURE ARE MORE IMPORTANT AND EASIER TO CONTROL. BECAUSE
CHOLESTEROL IS MANUFACTURED IN THE BODY NATURALLY, DIET DOES NOT
HAVE THE DIRECT RELATIONSHIP TO BLOOD LEVELS THAT MANY MISLED
LAYMEN ASSUME.
THEN TOO, THE STATED RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CORONARY HEART DISEASE AND CHOLESTEROL BLOOD LEVELS FALLS OFF FOR WOMEN WHEN THEY GET OVER 55 AND MEN WHEN THEY GET OVER 60. HEREDITY IS A PROMINENT FACTOR. IN SUMMARY IT SEEMS THAT ADVICE CONCERNING CHOLESTEROL DIET IN RELATIONSHIP TO CORONARY HEART DISEASE HAS BEEN GIVEN TO MORE PEOPLE THAN THOSE TO WHOM THE INFORMATION IS PERTINENT. A SEGMENT OF THE POPULATION MAY HAVE BEEN MISLED INTO THINKING DIETARY CHANGES CAN ACCOMPLISH MORE THAN IS POSSIBLE.
The numbers are interesting. While many studies show that there is a reduction in the number of heart attacks associated with the lowering of cholesterol, there is no evidence that there is an accompanying reduction in the death rate from coronary heart disease. So, although the quality of life might be improved, the death rate is still the same.

There is no doubt that some have benefitted from the cholesterol health initiative, but greater numbers have been treated than necessary or prudent.
LET ME SAY A WORD ABOUT A SUBJECT THAT GETS MORE IMPORTANT TO EACH OF US AS TIME GOES BY: AGING.

OLDER PEOPLE THINK ABOUT IT ALL THE TIME.

AND YOUNGER PEOPLE THINK ABOUT THEIR PARENTS.

WE CAN'T STOP AGING, BUT WE CAN AGE IN HEALTH.
THE PRESCRIPTION IS SIMPLE:

NO SMOKING,

ALCOHOL ONLY IN MODERATION,

EXERCISE AND DIET APPROPRIATE TO AGE,

CHECK BLOOD PRESSURE AND CHOLESTEROL, AND SEE THE DOCTOR IF THEY ARE ABNORMAL.
IF YOU --OR YOUR PARENTS-- DO THAT YOU COULD CLIMB THE HILL TO AGE 65,

BUT INSTEAD OF STARTING DOWNHILL AT 65, YOU COULD LIVE UP THERE ON THAT PLATEAU. AND ENJOY A QUALITY OF LIFE AS A SENIOR CITIZENS UNKNOWN TO PREVIOUS GENERATIONS.

AGING HAS SPECIAL MEANING FOR ME. YOU MAY RECALL THAT, WHEN PRESIDENT REAGAN FIRST NOMINATED ME TO BE HIS SURGEON GENERAL, BACK IN 1981, A GREAT HUE AND CRY WENT UP THAT I WAS TOO OLD.
I was just a youngster of 65.

But all my critics said that 65 was the age at which surgeons general were supposed to leave the public health service ... not enter it for the first time. Of course, this did not sit very well with the man who nominated me. President Reagan had just celebrated his 70th birthday and did not: take kindly to the idea that someone 5 years his junior was too old for public service.
NOW, AT AGE 73, I AM SURELY QUALIFIED TO BE COUNTED AS ONE OF AMERICA'S SENIOR CITIZENS. AND I'M VERY PROUD TO BE AMONG THAT COMPANY.

THERE ARE MORE OF US EVERY DAY. IN, SAY, 15 OR 20 YEARS -- A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THE AMERICAN POPULATION, CLOSE TO 20 PERCENT, WILL BE OVER THE AGE OF 65. AND WITHIN THAT GROUP, THE FASTEST-GROWING SEGMENT WILL BE THAT OF PERSONS WHO ARE AGE 85 OR ABOVE.
SO LET'S GET A FEW THINGS STRAIGHT:

GRAY OR WHITE HAIR IS NOT A SIGN OF DISEASE.
NEVER HAS BEEN. NEVER WILL BE.

DRY SKIN IS A NATURAL PHENOMENON OF AGING. WE DON'T KNOW WHY IT HAPPENS ... BUT IT'S NOT THE RESULT OF DISEASE.
TAKING THINGS A LITTLE EASIER IS NOT A DISEASE CONDITION. IT'S MORE LIKE A SIGN OF MATURITY.

AND GETTING A STRONGER PRESCRIPTION FOR YOUR EYEGLASSES OR BEING FITTED FOR A HEARING AID IS NOT EVIDENCE THAT YOU HAVE ONE FOOT IN THE GRAVE AND ANOTHER ON A BANANA-PEEL.

IT JUST ISN'T.
IN THE PAST YEAR OR SO, I HAD PERSONAL EXPERIENCE WITH HEARING LOSS. AFTER SEVERAL YEARS IN WASHINGTON I WAS BEGINNING TO FEEL MORE COMFORTABLE WITH THE JOB. I BEGAN TO LIKE MORE PEOPLE IN THE CONGRESS, AND ACTUALLY ENJOYED COMMITTEE MEETINGS AT THE WHITE HOUSE.

SUDDENLY I REALIZED WHY: I WAS LOSING MY HEARING.
SERIOUSLY, THOUGH, I REALIZED THAT I WAS MISSING A LOT. AND I WAS MAKING INAPPROPRIATE RESPONSES.

SO I GOT TWO HEARING AIDS.

GETTING A HEARING AID SHOULD BE JUST LIKE GETTING EYEGLASSES.
AGE PREJUDICE IS STILL FAR TOO COMMON IN AMERICA.

OLD AGE NEED NOT BE A TIME OF CHRONIC AND PROLONGED INCAPACITY.

AND FOR MOST PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 65 IT IS NOT. WE NOW

UNDERSTAND THAT -- MEDICALLY SPEAKING -- THERE IS SUCH A THING AS

A DISEASE-FREE AGING PROCESS ... A PROCESS DURING WHICH ALL

SYSTEMS IN A PERSON'S BODY CONTINUE TO FUNCTION NORMALLY.
AND THAT'S THE WAY IT'S GOING TO BE FOR MOST OLDER PEOPLE IN THE FUTURE, --MOST OF YOU, I HOPE -- BECAUSE TOMORROW'S ELDERLY ARE TODAY'S BIKERS, JOGGERS, AND SWIMMERS ... THEY'RE THE PEOPLE WHO SNACK ON SALAD AND YOGURT ... WHO'VE GIVEN UP CIGARETTES AND HARD LIQUOR ... WHO WEAR SEAT-BELTS AND SENSIBLE SHOES ... AND WHO DO MORE ABOUT MANAGING STRESS THAN JUST POP ANOTHER VALIUM.
HUMAN AGING CAN BE SICKNESS-FREE, DISEASE-FREE, JUST LIKE ANY
OTHER PERIOD IN ONE'S LIFE, IF WE TAKE THE RIGHT STEPS IN
PREVENTIVE HEALTH CARE.
Finally, let me remind you

There has been a revolution in the way we look at health in America.

Two concepts form the basis for this revolution.

First comes the assertion that the prevention of disease and disability and the promotion of good health will produce far and away the greatest improvements in the health status of Americans.
SOME ANALYSTS EVEN SAY THAT PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION CAN POSTPONE UP TO 70 PERCENT OF ALL PREMATURE DEATHS, WHEREAS THE TRADITIONAL CURATIVE AND REPARATIVE APPROACH OF MEDICINE CAN POSTPONE NO MORE THAN 10 TO 15 PERCENT OF SUCH DEATHS. EVEN IF THEY'RE ONLY HALF RIGHT, THAT'S QUITE A DIFFERENCE IN SOCIAL PAYOFFS.
SECOND IS THE RECOGNITION THAT THESE TWO APPROACHES TO HEALTH -- THAT IS, DISEASE PREVENTION AND HEALTH PROMOTION -- ARE THE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITIES OF EACH INDIVIDUAL. PHYSICIANS AND THERAPISTS AND PHARMACISTS AND NURSES CAN PROVIDE INFORMATION AND ALL KINDS OF SERVICE. BUT THE CHOICES REST WITH THE INDIVIDUAL. AND THEY ARE FREE CHOICES IN NEARLY EVERY CASE, NOT MANDATED BY LAW -- AT LEAST NOT YET.
THIS TWO-FOLD CHANGE IN THE WAY WE LOOK AT HEALTH IN AMERICA HAS NOT YET BEEN FULLY ABSORBED BY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE, ALTHOUGH THEY SEEM WILLING ENOUGH TO LEARN.

NOW, IT'S TRUE THAT AMERICAN PUBLIC HEALTH HAS ALWAYS HAD A STRONG PREVENTIVE BASE:

WE WERE BROUGHT UP ON VACCINATION PROGRAMS AND WATER FLUORIDATION AND BLOOD PRESSURE CHECK-UPS AND SO ON.
NEVERTHELESS, I THINK THE OVERALL PERCEPTION AMONG THE AMERICAN
PEOPLE IS STILL AN OLD-FASHIONED ONE: THAT IS, THAT PUBLIC
HEALTH AND MEDICAL AND NURSING PERSONNEL ARE REALLY ON THE JOB TO
PATCH YOU UP IF YOU GET HURT OR TO CURE YOU IF YOU GET SICK. IN
OTHER WORDS, THE PATIENT IS PASSIVE AND THE HEALTH SYSTEM IS THE
ONLY ACTIVE PARTY.
I THINK THE PUBLIC STILL ADHERES TO THE IDEA THAT THE PATIENT IS
SUPPOSED TO "FOLLOW THE DOCTOR'S ORDERS," A PHRASE WHICH HAS EVEN
BECOME A CLICHE OF THE LANGUAGE.

OF COURSE, BY "FOLLOWING THE DOCTOR'S ORDERS," THE PATIENT WILL
DO THOSE THINGS THAT WILL HELP HIM OR HER REGAIN THE LOST STATUS
OF FULL HEALTH.
WE IN THE PUBLIC HEALTH PROFESSIONS HAVE BEEN DILIGENTLY TRYING TO TURN THAT CONVENTIONAL WISDOM AROUND. AND I THINK WE ARE!

I THINK WE'RE MAKING GREAT STRIDES IN THE ANTI-SMOKING AREA. THE PERCENTAGE OF THE ADULT POPULATION WHO SMOKES IS STEADILY DECLINING AND THAT'S EXCELLENT.
THERE'S ALSO BEEN A DROP IN THE CONSUMPTION OF HARD LIQUOR, WITH A SHIFT TO BEER AND WINE -- OR SIMPLY WATER. AS A RESULT, THERE'S BEEN A DRAMATIC DROP IN CHRONIC LIVER DISEASE AND CIRRHOSIS MORTALITY IN GENERAL.

PEOPLE SEEM TO BE EATING LESS FAT, PARTICULARLY SATURATED FAT AND CHOLESTEROL. THE DROP IN CIGARETTE SMOKING AND THE REDUCTIONS IN FAT IN THE AVERAGE PERSON'S DIET HAVE COMBINED TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE DECLINE IN HEART DISEASE AND STROKE DEATHS OVER THE PAST 10 TO 15 YEARS AS WELL. THERE'S NO DOUBT ABOUT THAT.
SO I THINK WE CAN FEEL ENCOURAGED ABOUT THE TRENDS SO FAR.

THE BIG QUESTION REMAINS, HOWEVER: ARE THEY REALLY TRENDS ... OR ARE THEY TEMPORARY ARTIFACTS OF A DYNAMIC CULTURE?

WE NEED TO MAKE THE RIGHT CHOICES ABOUT LIFESTYLE, ABOUT PHYSICAL EXERCISE, ABOUT DIET.
WHEN WE CONVINCE OURSELVES TO EAT A PROPER DIET,
TO AVOID FOODS HIGH IN FAT, SUGAR, AND SODIUM,
TO SAY "NO!" TO DRUGS LIKE ALCOHOL AND NICOTINE,
WE TAKE CHARGE OF OUR HEALTH.
WHEN WE SAY THAT THE BEST WAY TO BEAT HEART DISEASE IS THROUGH
ROUTINE EXERCISE, NO SMOKING, AND A HEALTHFUL DIET,
THAT'S JUST ANOTHER WAY OF TELLING PEOPLE, "DON'T RELY COMPLETELY
ON HIGH-COST HIGH-TECH MEDICINE TO SAVE YOUR LIFE.
YOU CAN AFFORD PREVENTION ... YOU CANNOT AFFORD A QUADRUPLE
BY-PASS."
WE KNOW, HOWEVER, THAT HEART DISEASE IS PROGRESSIVE: THAT IS, IT'S BEGINNING TO DEVELOP RIGHT NOW, EVEN IN SOME OF YOU.

IF YOU ARE OVERWEIGHT NOW ... IF YOUR CURRENT DIETARY INTAKE IS HIGH IN SODIUM, CHOLESTEROL, AND SATURATED FATS ... IF YOU LEAD A GENERALLY SEDENTARY EXISTENCE, IF YOU'RE A "COUCH-AND-ARMCHAIR POTATO" ... IT IS HIGHLY LIKELY THAT THIS COULD BE YOUR LIFESTYLE AT AGE 30 ... AT AGE 40 ... AND AT AGE 50.
AND THE ODDS OF YOUR REACHING AGE 60, MUCH LESS AGE 70 OR 80, WILL BE SMALL.

BUT YOU CAN RAISE THOSE ODDS CONSIDERABLY BY GETTING CONTROL OF YOUR DIET AND BY FITTING IN TIME FOR EXERCISE EVERY WEEK.
DOES THAT MEAN YOU WILL BE IMMORTAL AND NEVER DIE?

NO, I'M AFRAID NOT. EVERY LIVING THING IN THE PLANT AND ANIMAL KINGDOMS HAS A LIMIT OF SOME KIND TO THE LENGTH OF LIFE. WE DON'T KNOW MUCH MORE ABOUT IT THAN THAT.

WE KNOW THAT THE CALIFORNIA GIANT REDWOOD TREE LIVES TO A MAXIMUM OF ABOUT 2,000 TO 3,000 YEARS. AND THEN IT STOPS ... AND DIES.

WHY? NO ONE REALLY KNOWS.
BUT THE MAPLE TREE IS DIFFERENT. A MAPLE HAS A LIFE-SPAN OF
ABOUT 50 YEARS. AT THAT AGE, A MAPLE BEGINS TO "DIE BACK." IT
IS AN IRREVERSIBLE PROCESS.

WE KNOW THAT HAPPENS. BUT -- AGAIN -- WE DON'T REALLY KNOW WHY.

AND WE DON'T KNOW WHY HUMAN BEINGS SEEM TO "RUN OUT OF TIME"
BETWEEN THEIR 65TH AND 85TH YEARS.
WE DO KNOW THAT THE PREMATURE DEATH OF TREES CAN BE PREVENTED, IF WE MAKE SURE THEY HAVE AMPLE SUPPLIES SUNLIGHT, CLEAN AIR, FRESH WATER, AND CERTAIN SOIL-BASED MINERALS.

SIMILARLY, WE KNOW THAT THE PREMATURE DEATH OF HUMAN BEINGS CAN ALSO BE PREVENTED, IF THEY DON'T SMOKE ... DON'T ABUSE DRUGS -- INCLUDING ALCOHOL AND NICOTINE... MAINTAIN A REGULAR ROUTINE OF EXERCISE ... AND WATCH THEIR DIET.
THERE DOESN'T SEEM TO BE ANY SPECIAL ORGAN THAT AFFECTS THE LIFE OF A TREE, BUT THERE CERTAINLY IS ONE THAT AFFECTS THE LIFE OF THE HUMAN BEING. AND IT'S THE HEART.

AND THE FEW RULES I JUST MENTIONED -- DIET, EXERCISE, AND SO ON -- WILL ALLOW YOUR HEART TO DO ITS JOB "FOR AS LONG AS IT IS PREPARED TO FUNCTION." THAT'S THE BEST WAY I CAN PUT IT, SINCE NO ONE KNOWS HOW LONG THAT IS.
IF YOU BELIEVE YOU CAN LIVE ANY WAY YOU PLEASE WHILE YOU'RE YOUNG AND THEN DEAL WITH YOUR HEART LATER ON ... YOU ARE TRAGICALLY MISTAKEN. WHEN IT HAS BEEN ABUSED FOR ANY LENGTH OF TIME, THE HUMAN HEART HAS A TENDENCY TO THROW A FIT. AND STOP.

I HOPE YOU WON'T WAIT THAT LONG TO PAY ATTENTION TO THIS MYSTERIOUS BUT ABSOLUTELY VITAL ORGAN.
SO, YOU EACH HAVE AN INDIVIDUAL RESPONSIBILITY TO DO SOMETHING,

TO DO MANY THINGS,

TO MAINTAIN YOUR INDIVIDUAL HEALTH.

THANK YOU.